



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,278	12/11/2001	Gunnar Hedin	980.1123US01 2334 EXAMINER	
22865	7590 04/21/2004			
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC			LEE, HWA S	
6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY SUITE 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704			2877	•
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	. 4)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 A 11 41 N					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/014,278	HEDIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew H. Lee	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-38 and 40-48 is/are pending in	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-38 and 40-48</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-38 and 40-48</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-38 and 40-48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
rapei No(s)/Maii Date <u>//20/03</u> .	0) [_] Other					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,278 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims 1-9, 10-38 and 40-48 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/015,151, and No. 10/014,277. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the devices claimed in the copending applications inherently practice the methods claimed.
- 2. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11, 16-23, 34, 37-42, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang-Hasnain et al (US 6,233,263).

Chang-Hasnain et al (Chang hereinafter) monitoring and control assembly for wavelength stabilized optical system comprising:

a fringe-producing optical element (20) to generate an interference pattern from light derived from the output light beam, the fringe producing optical element being a non-parallel etalon and the interference pattern defining a pattern period;

a detector unit disposed to detect the interference pattern, the detector unit including at least three detector elements disposed to detect respective portions of the pattern period (column 4, lines 61+ or column 7, lines 25+);

a control unit (30) coupled to receive detection signals from the detector unit and adapted to generate a laser frequency control signal for controlling the laser frequency

With regards to claims 2-6, the use of an array of photodetectors would meet the limitations of claims 2-6.

With regards to claim 18, the choosing of one of a number of UTI standard operating wavelengths is well know as admitted by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang as applied to claim 1 in view of Russell (6,151,114).

The use of a non-parallel etalon having at least one curved surface is not shown by

Chang. Russell shows the use of a non-parallel etalon having at least one curved surface. At the
time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a non-parallel etalon

Art Unit: 2877

having at least one curved surface in order to differentiate the effects of the arrival angle of the beam from the wavelength of the beam.

7. Claims 22-25, 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang as applied to claims 1 and 34 in view of DeAndrea et al (5,515,468).

Chang does not expressly show the elements of the laser. DeAndrea shows elements of the laser including the power source and the collimating and focusing elements. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used the teaching of Chang in the laser of DeAndrea in order to have a stable laser source.

8. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Sharma et al (6,331,906).

Chang does not show the element of the communications systems. Sharma et al show the claimed elements. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used Chang with Sharma et al in order to have communication system that has a stable light source.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-38, 40-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,278

Art Unit: 2877

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet, and
 - b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Hwa Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2419.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415.

Andrew Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

April 18, 2004/ahl

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800